

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT  
LITIGATION

---

MDL No. 3084

**ORDER DENYING MOTIONS TO  
WITHDRAW AS ATTORNEY**

Re: Dkt. Nos. 3750, 3753, 3754, 3756,  
3891

This Order Relates To:

*T.C. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,  
Case No. 3:25-cv-02109-CRB

*A.D. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,  
Case No. 3:25-cv-02111-CRB

*A.W. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,  
Case No. 3:25-cv-02401-CRB

*T.B. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,  
Case No. 3:25-cv-02678-CRB

*A.G.L. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,  
Case No. 3:25-cv-02931-CRB

*S.D. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,  
Case No. 3:25-cv-03547-CRB

*T.W. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,  
Case No. 3:25-cv-04414-CRB

*A.G. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,  
Case No. 3:25-cv-04802-CRB

*T.L. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,  
Case No. 3:25-cv-04878-CRB

1 *Doe NLG (B.H.) v. Uber Technologies,*  
2 *Inc., et al., Case No. 3:25-cv-00369-CRB*

3 *Doe NLG (J.V.) v. Uber Technologies,*  
4 *Inc., et al., Case No. 3:24-cv-08622-CRB*

5 *Doe NLG (A.B.) v. Uber Technologies,*  
6 *Inc., et al., Case No. 3:25-cv-01079-CRB*

7 *Jane Doe LS 333 v. Uber Technologies,*  
8 *Inc., et al., Case No. 3:23-cv-05930-CRB*

9 *Jane Doe LS 397 v. Uber Technologies,*  
10 *Inc., et al., Case No. 3:24-cv-05864-CRB*

11 For the same reasons as detailed in the Court's August 26, 2025 Order, see *dk.*  
12 3759, the Motions to Withdraw as Attorney in the above-captioned cases are denied  
13 without prejudice pending resolution of the Court's Orders to Show Cause regarding  
14 plaintiffs' submission of non-bona fide receipts, see *dk.* 3876; *dk.* 3972.

15 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

16 Dated: September 22, 2025



17 CHARLES R. BREYER  
18 United States District Judge  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28